UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/601,476	06/24/2003	Edwin G. Duffin	P0009797.00	7245
27581 MEDTRONIC,	7590 05/02/200 INC.	8	EXAMINER	
710 MEDTRON	NIC PARKWAY NE		KAHELIN, MICHAEL WILLIAM	
MINNEAPOLI	S, MN 55432-9924		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3762	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/02/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/601,476	DUFFIN ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
MICHAEL KAHELIN	3762		

	WICHAEL KAHELIN	3702	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>21 April 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appelor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Coperiods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection	n.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of the hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp			
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi			e appeai. Since a
AMENDMENTS			
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, k They raise new issues that would require further cor 	sideration and/or search (see NO		cause
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	**		
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or			ne issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	orresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
4. 🔲 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		· ·	PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		•	
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 		•	_
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 26-28. Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-9. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 21-25.		l be entered and an e	xplanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail	s to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
13. Other:			
	/George R Evanisko/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 3762	

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argued that the combination of Sluetz and Doan is improper because combining certain features of the two inventions would result in a system having proximal lead contacts within the female bore, all of which are connected to the stimulator, as taught by Sluetz, and Doan (elements 24 and 26). However, Doan was relied upon for the teaching of proximal lead contacts (52, 54, 56, and 58) that are selectively connected or disconnected from the stimulator, as shown in Figures 4 and 5. The Examiner maintains that the prior art, taken as a whole, would reasonably suggest to an artisan of ordinary skill to apply the sliding multi-electrode selection means of Doan to a conventional header arrangement, such as Sluetz's, predictably resulting in the claimed subject matter. As both inventions concern "connector bores" (regardless of whether the bore is in a conventional integral header assembly), the connections means involve the same field of endeavor.